

Chapter 18

Health Applications: Outline

Anikó Bíró, Mark N. Harris, Andrew M. Jones and Nigel Rice

18.1 Introduction

Scope and applications of health econometrics

18.2 The early days – RAND experiment and its influence (1970s–1980s)

- Models and estimators for cost regressions (including two-part models) – (mostly) endured
 - Two-part, selectivity and hurdle models: taxonomy of model classes
 - Two-part vs. selectivity models: theory and debate
 - Monte Carlo evidence
 - Empirical evidence
- Models for limited dependent variables – endured
 - Binary response models
 - Multinomial and ordered responses

Anikó Bíró ☐

ELTE Centre for Economic and Regional Studies, Budapest, Hungary, e-mail: biro.aniko@krtk.elte.hu

Mark N. Harris

Curtin University, Perth, Australia, e-mail: Mark.Harris@curtin.edu.au

Andrew M. Jones

University of York, York, UK, e-mail: andrew.jones@york.ac.uk

Nigel Rice

University of York, York, UK, e-mail: nigel.rice@york.ac.uk

- Count data models
- Duration (survival) models
 - Semiparametric models
 - Parametric models
 - Models with unobserved heterogeneity
 - Competing risks and multiple spells
- Some of the key references: Manning, Newhouse, Duan, Keeler and Leibowitz (1987), Butler, Anderson and Burkhauser (1989)

Some lessons learnt:

- Key issues in identification even under randomized treatment: compliance, attrition
- Separate modelling of responses on the extensive vs intensive margin is needed
- Treatment effect heterogeneity is important – need for large-scale data

18.3 Social surveys and microeconomics (1990s–2000s)

- Micro-data based approaches became the standard
 - Dead end: time series econometric methods applied to aggregated health data (e.g., OECD health datasets)
- Panel data approaches – endured but adapted
 - Linear models
 - Conditional logit estimator
 - Parametrizing unobserved individual effects
 - Dynamic treatment effects
- Analysis of heterogeneity – latent classes/finite mixture models, etc. – faded somewhat
- Self-reported health and reporting behaviour – faded
- Health inequality measurement and related decompositions – superseded by inequality of opportunity
- Some of the key references: Lindeboom, Portrait and Van den Berg (2002), Lee and Jones (2004), Lindeboom and Van Doorslaer (2004), Jürges (2007)

Some lessons learnt:

- Aggregate data less suitable for causal analysis
- Aggregate data mask important within-country heterogeneity
- Self-reported health: substantial measurement errors
- Dynamics often matter in health – outcomes are persistent

18.4 Administrative data, quasi-experiments and causal inference (2000s–)

- Declining role in health econometrics:
 - Structural econometrics (not clearly “dead end” but declining share)
 - Discrete choice experiments and conjoint analysis (not clearly “dead end” but shift of focus)
- IV – endured but with scepticism (relevance, validity)
 - Newer approach: genetics as IV
- Move away from IV approaches to exploiting natural experiments
 - Matching
 - Regression Discontinuity
 - Difference-in-Differences
- Some approaches (RDDs) based on old data
- Some scepticism for contemporaneous policy
- Some of the key references: Almond, Chay and Lee (2005), Lleras-Muney (2005), García Gómez and López Nicolás (2006)

Some lessons learnt:

- Structural approach: heavy reliance on modelling assumptions
- Valid instruments are rare – policy shocks are often the best IVs
- Administrative data: scope for analysis of rare events, detailed analysis of dynamics
 - Identification remains the key

18.5 Future prospects

Big data and machine learning in health econometrics

References

Almond, D., Chay, K. Y. & Lee, D. S. (2005). The costs of low birth weight. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 120(3), 1031–1083.

Butler, J. S., Anderson, K. H. & Burkhauser, R. V. (1989). Work and health after retirement: a competing risks model with semiparametric unobserved heterogeneity. *The Review of Economics and Statistics*, 46–53.

García Gómez, P. & López Nicolás, A. (2006). Health shocks, employment and income in the Spanish labour market. *Health Economics*, 15(9), 997–1009.

Jürges, H. (2007). True health vs response styles: exploring cross-country differences in self-reported health. *Health Economics*, 16(2), 163–178.

Lee, M.-C. & Jones, A. M. (2004). How did dentists respond to the introduction of global budgets in Taiwan? An evaluation using individual panel data. *International Journal of Health Care Finance and Economics*, 4(4), 307–326.

Lindeboom, M., Portrait, F. & Van den Berg, G. J. (2002). An econometric analysis of the mental-health effects of major events in the life of older individuals. *Health Economics*, 11(6), 505–520.

Lindeboom, M. & Van Doorslaer, E. (2004). Cut-point shift and index shift in self-reported health. *Journal of Health Economics*, 23(6), 1083–1099.

Lleras-Muney, A. (2005). The relationship between education and adult mortality in the United States. *The Review of Economic Studies*, 72(1), 189–221.

Manning, W. G., Newhouse, J. P., Duan, N., Keeler, E. B. & Leibowitz, A. (1987). Health insurance and the demand for medical care: evidence from a randomized experiment. *The American Economic Review*, 251–277.